BISHOPSTEIGNTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING

HELD 7PM MONDAY 19TH OCTOBER 2020 VIA ZOOM

2538 ATTENDANCE

.01 PRESENT: Cllr. Nicholson (Chairman), Cllrs. Benham, Gateshill, Grimble, Lambert & Merritt (6/6)

Clerk: Mrs. K. Ford, Cllr. Watson, District Cllr. A. MacGregor and up to 6 members of the public

at varying times.

.02 APOLOGIES: None .03 DOI: None

2539 NEW PLANNING APPLICATIONS: The following new planning applications were considered, and it was resolved for these comments to be sent to Teignbridge District Council as the Local Planning Authority:

.01 APPLICATION REF: 20/01764/FUL - 19 Great Park Close

PROPOSAL: Single storey rear extension with balcony over

The proposed development of this application was discussed at length, hearing from both neighbours with objections, the applicant and Cllr. MacGregor.

It was proposed by Cllr. Merritt, seconded by Cllr. Lambert, that the following comment be submitted. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED.**

BPC COMMENTS: Bishopsteignton Parish Council are satisfied with the proposed single storey extension

however do not support the balcony above due to concerns of overlooking for the three

surrounding properties.

.02 APPLICATION REF: 20/01624/HOU - 2 Rydon Gardens, Newton Road

PROPOSAL: Demolish existing outbuilding and construction of new annex building

It was proposed by Cllr. Gateshill, seconded by Cllr. Benham, that the following comment be submitted. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED.**

BPC COMMENTS: Bishopsteignton Parish Council have no objection subject to the undertaking of an

ecological survey and any relevant finding and recommendation made in the report being

adhered to.

.03 APPLICATION REF: 20/01746/HOU - Radway House, 33 Radway Street

PROPOSAL: Two storey side extension, alteration of the existing rear flat roof to form a barrel

vaulted roof, construction of a rear porch, replacement of all windows with timber sash windows, alterations to the existing rear garden to form a terrace with stepped

planters, removal of an existing chimney and a new covering to the existing flat roof.

It was proposed by Cllr. Merritt, seconded by Cllr. Nicholson, that the following comment be submitted. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED.**

BPC COMMENTS: Bishopsteignton have no objection with the proposal however would request the

condition suggested by M. Rush, Biodiversity Officer for TDC Design & Heritage in here

consultation response dated 14.10.20 is implemented and adhered to.

.04 APPLICATION REF: 20/01686/FUL & 20/01687/LBC - The Mill, Luton, TQ13 0BN

PROPOSAL: Installation of outdoor swimming pool and equipment shed

It was proposed by Cllr. Benham, seconded by Cllr. Merritt, that the following comment be submitted. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED.**

BPC COMMENTS: Bishopsteignton Parish Council have no objection in principle but would wish to see the

wildlife pond relocated to ensure retention of the biodiversity within and surrounding

this.

DATED: CHAIRMAN:

BISHOPSTEIGNTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES - continued

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING - held 19.10.2020

2540 LPA DECISION NOTIFICATIONS:

.01 The following Local Planning Authority decisions were noted:

REFERENCE	LOCATION	PROPOSAL	PLANNING AUTHORITY DECISION
20/01417/HOU	Spinnacre, 16	Raise the Height of Both External	GRANT OF CONDITIONAL
20/01418/LBC	Radway Hill	Chimney Stacks to 1.8M Above the Building Ridge Line	CONSENT
20/01563/CAN	11 Teign View	Fell one tree and prune one tree	No LPA objection –
	Road		Permission granted
20/01573/CAN	St Johns House,	Fell one mimosa	No LPA objection –
	Church Road		Permission granted
20/01306/HOU	Green, Shute Hill	Timber Orangery To Replace Existing	GRANT OF CONDITIONAL
20/01307/LBC		Conservatory	PLANNING PERMISSION
20/01601/HOU	St Fillans, 28	Single Storey Rear Extension	GRANT OF CONDITIONAL
	Murley Crescent		PLANNING PERMISSION

Cllr. Nicholson requested that the clerk check the officer's reports for both CAN application and confirm if the PCs request for a replacement tree was considered.

.02 APP REF: 20/01248/HOU - HIGHVIEW HOUSE

All members expressed disappointment and concern over the response received from the delegated planning officer. It had been hoped a senior officer might have responded in an advisory manner so that BPC may understand the decision made however the email received was considered dismissive and impolite.

It was suggested that Cllr. MacGregor may progress this for BPC, speak to the officer and his supervisor to understand the procedure followed on this occasion highlighting the need to communicate well with Towns and Parishes. Cllr. MacGregor confirmed he will do this, clerk to send him all related correspondence to date. It was proposed by Cllr. Nicholson, seconded by Cllr. Benham, that the action detailed above be taken. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED**.

2541 CHANGES TO PLANNING – WHITE PAPER CONSULTATION

Members felt it is important to submit a response to this consultation and all were impressed by the document submitted by Teignbridge District Council and ACT.

It was proposed by Cllr. Nicholson, seconded by Cllr. Benham that a global response, rather than to each individual question, should be drafted by Cllrs. Nicholson & Merritt, with the clerk, which supports the submissions of TDC & ACT. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED**.

2542 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION – No comments or queries raised.

THE CHAIRMAN CLOSED THE MEETING AT 8.05PM

DATED: CHAIRMAN:

2