



BISHOPSTEIGNTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 7.00PM 21 NOVEMBER 2022 AT COMMUNITY CENTRE, SHUTE HILL

2881 MEETING GOVERNANCE

ATTENDANCE: Cllrs. Merritt (Chair), East, Gill, Grimble, Head, Lambert & Smith (7/8)
Clerk: Mrs. K. Ford. No members of the public.

APOLOGIES: Cllr. Gateshill (1/8)

DOI: None

ORDER OF BUSINESS: No change to the agenda.

RATIFICATION OF MINUTES: It was proposed, seconded and unanimously agreed to resolve the draft minute as a true and correct record of the proceeding of the Planning Committee meeting held .10.22. **RESOLVED.**

2882 LPA DECISION NOTIFICATIONS

A summary list of notifications was circulated prior to the meeting. This was **NOTED** by members with brief discussion about several of the notifications. List publicly available on the BPC website.

2883 NEW APPLICATIONS

The following applications were considered, and it was **RESOLVED** for the comments below to be sent to Teignbridge District Council as the Local Planning Authority:

01. **APP REF:** 22/00706/HOU - 3 Teign View Road TQ14 9SZ
PROPOSAL: Roof conversion including hip-to-gable extension and the installation of two dormers, and side extension with carport under

It was proposed by Cllr. Merritt, seconded by Cllr. Lambert, that the following comment be submitted. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED.**

BPC COMMENT: Bishopsteignton Parish Council object to the proposal for the following reasons:

- Too extensive within the curtilage, therefore overbearing.
- Out of context and character in the vicinity
- Overlooking and a reduction of natural light to neighbouring properties.
- Whilst it is commendable to take a parked car off the public highway by providing a car port it seems due to the lack of turning space and fork in the road, as well as reduced visibility this provision will not be suitable or usable.

02. **APP REF:** 22/02125/CAN - Huntly Forder Lane TQ14 9SL
PROPOSAL: Fell one Ash (T0034)

It was proposed by Cllr. Head, seconded by Cllr. Lambert, that the following comment be submitted. Agreed unanimously therefore **RESOLVED.**

BPC COMMENT: No objection.

Members briefly discussed the opportunity to suggest to the management group for land at Huntly that a succession plan would be a good way to manage the replacement of the trees as assets to the property. Trees will not live forever and there is a chance many of the specimens at Huntly may need to be felled at the same time, which will be detrimental to the character of this historic garden, as indicated in the Bishopsteignton Neighbourhood Development Plan policy BSC1.

It was unanimously agreed for the clerk to write to Huntly with this suggestion with a copy to be sent to the parish Tree Warden, Peter Hepworth.

MEETING CLOSED BY THE CHAIR AT 19.23PM

CHAIR:

DATE: