



BISHOPSTEIGNTON PARISH COUNCIL

MINUTES PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING HELD 7.00PM 17 FEBRUARY 2025 AT COMMUNITY CENTRE, SHUTE HILL

PL.2502.01: MEETING GOVERNANCE

ATTENDANCE: Committee Cllrs. Merritt (Chair), Dixon, Gateshill, Gill, Grimble, & Head (6/7).
Clerk: Mrs. K. Ford. No members of the public.

APOLOGIES: Cllr. Vooght (1/7)

DOI: Cllr. Grimble declared a non-pecuniary interest in the planning application 25/00066/HOU - 6 Great Furlong. She would not comment or vote on this item.

ORDER OF BUSINESS: No change to the agenda.

RATIFICATION OF MINUTES: It was proposed, seconded and unanimously agreed to resolve the draft minutes

as a true and correct record of the proceeding of the Planning Committee meeting held 07.10.24. **RESOLVED.**

PL.2502.02 LPA DECISION NOTICES

A list of application and the decisions which have been determined by Teignbridge District Council as the Local Planning Authority was noted and no queries raised. Available on the BPC website.

PL.2502.03 NEW APPLICATIONS

The following application was considered, and it was **RESOLVED** for the comment below to be sent to Teignbridge District Council as the Local Planning Authority:

.01 APP REF: 25/00066/HOU - 6 Great Furlong

PROPOSAL: Enlarge window to rear elevation, increase window size to two upper floor windows one with Juliet balcony, solar panels to front elevation roof

Following members discussions, members felt it was inappropriate to form a response without the reason for the planning authorities refusal of the last application at this property. Clerk to investigate and circulate to members. A consultation response to be formed using email responses from members and submitted by the clerk before the expiry.

BPC COMMENT: To be formed following supply of further information, by email.

PL.2502.04 TEIGNBRIDGE CONSULTATION ON DRAFT CIL GUIDANCE

Members considered the draft document and agreed this was an improvement on previous versions but with the vagueness only being reduced a little. They feel it would be better to have a few examples of acceptable and unacceptable spend within the document, as shown in the presentation slides from the Planning Forum held 10.02.25.

Furthermore, it was suggested that a simple prior approval process be established. That the clerk from a local council might check the allocation of CIL to a specific project is acceptable before the purchase/work is instructed and the money is spent. Saving both councils time in the long run rather than correcting these errors after the submission of the CIL annual statement.

It was proposed, seconded and unanimously agreed for the clerk to submit this response to the TDC consultation, subject to any additional comments from the BPC Strategy & Governance Committee.

PL.2502.05 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Cllr. Grimble raised concerns over 2 new disable parking bay which have been installed without consultation with the Parish Council. The clerk clarified that the PC have only been consulted in

CHAIR:

DATE:

PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING

HELD 17.02.25

the past where the space has been installed where there are already parking restrictions, e.g. Fore Street, by the pharmacy/post office.

The new bays will have been applied for by someone who has already been assessed to have a need and been granted a blue badge. A disable parking bay is not for a specified individual but for anyone who uses a blue badge.

MEETING CLOSED BY THE CHAIR AT 19.23

CHAIR:

DATE: